

Illegal fisheries

Andrew Farmer

18 February 2016

EFFACE Final Conference, Brussels





Overview

- The work within EFFACE has included work on illegal fisheries
- For the EU, the regulatory context is the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP)
- May seem odd to discuss in session of wildlife related crime, but:
 - Fisheries is extraction of living resource with its own biological value
 - Fisheries can damage other non-target species/habitats
 - An objective of the CFP is the conservation of marine biological resources



Environmental crime and fisheries

- How does the concept of 'environmental crime' relate to fisheries?
- Fisheries look at IUU fishing illegal, unreported and unregulated. For CFP there are:
 - rules on access to waters to control which vessels have access to which waters and areas
 - fishing effort controls to limit fishing capacity and vessel usage
 - technical measures to regulate gear usage and where and when fishermen can fish
- Unregulated fisheries are obviously not a crime but IUU often considered together
- IUU fishing depletes fish stocks, destroys marine habitats, distorts competition, puts honest fishers at an unfair disadvantage



Enforcement

- Fisheries is interesting in wider EFFACE context for several reasons, e.g.:
 - Inspection system based around Joint Deployment Plans that establish the planning of the fisheries control means to be deployed by MS
 - Union inspectors carry out inspection and surveillance of fishing activities in EU waters, outside the zones under sovereignty of MS
 - To facilitate the collaboration between MS and MS and the EFCA, the EFCA has designed and created a collaboration information sharing tool called Fishnet (restricted access)
 - Vessel monitoring system a satellite-based method of transmitting position data from a fishing vessel to the control authorities. It allows authorities to monitor fishing vessels in near real time to target control and check the historical positions of a vessel for compliance with catch records and restricted areas



Influencing behaviour

- Key driver is economic traditional view of fishers weighing up costs and benefits of actions
- But field evidence shows decisions not always follow a purely economic decision
- For example, co-management regimes
 (working with fishers to create management regimes) can affect behaviour



Rights based management

- Various forms of RBM, e.g. fishing rights based on quota, access to territories, etc., held by individuals, groups, communities, etc. Most are transferable.
- Commission sought to introduce tradable quotas in last CFP reform – unsuccessful but MS can have their own RBM systems, e.g. in how to allocate fishing consistent with the agreed Total Allowable Catch.
- RBM is shown to have benefits for fishers (e.g. profits) and for fisheries (e.g. reduced overfishing)



Lessons

- Fisheries work within EFFACE shows value of strong regulation, co-operation on enforcement and benefits of new information
- It also shows value of alternative approaches that change to relationship of fishers to fisheries that can deliver better compliance and better outcomes

